

Epidemiology of Autism Spectrum Disorder

Alison Presmanes Hill
Research Assistant Professor, Center for Spoken Language Understanding
Institute for Development & Disability
Department of Pediatrics
Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

Eric Fombonne
Professor of Psychiatry
Department of Psychiatry
Director of Autism Research
Institute for Development & Disability
Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

Corresponding Author: Eric Fombonne, Professor of Psychiatry
Department of Psychiatry
Director of Autism Research
Institute for Development & Disability, 840 SW Gaines Road, Mail Code GH 254, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239

Abstract

In this selective review of the literature, we present the most recent prevalence estimates for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and discuss the limitations and challenges in interpreting changes in prevalence estimates over time. Increases in ASD prevalence estimates cannot currently be attributed to a true increase in the incidence of ASD due to multiple confounding factors. These include broader diagnostic criteria and a greater awareness of ASD. The current average prevalence of ASD is approximately 66/10,000, which translates to approximately 1 in 152 children affected, with males consistently outnumbering females by about 5:1. Several recent studies have reported higher estimates ranging from 147 (one in 68) to 264 (one in 38) per 10,000. This is in sharp contrast to the figures of about 1-5/10,000 quoted in earlier studies that used a narrow definition of autistic disorder and were not inclusive of all disorders falling onto the autism spectrum. It remains to be seen how changes to diagnostic criteria introduced in the DSM-5 will impact estimates of ASD prevalence.

Introduction

Determining the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and monitoring it over time is important to ensure the training of ASD diagnosticians, improve access to necessary interventions and understand causal mechanisms of ASD. However, because ASD is a behaviorally defined disorder, determining its prevalence is more challenging than for a disorder where clear biological markers exist. The symptoms of ASD vary in severity and may present differently in children with a mixture of cognitive abilities (1). Furthermore, how the data are gathered, analyzed, and interpreted impacts the conclusions made regarding the prevalence of ASD (2). Changes in societal awareness and the public health response also have an impact on prevalence estimates. As a result, there is controversy surrounding the prevalence of ASD, in particular whether the recent rise in reports of the disorder is due to a true increase in incidence or whether there are other factors that could be impacting estimates.

Prevalence

ASD and pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs) are used interchangeably in various articles but are very similar in meaning. In this review, we use ASD as the term of choice. Based on current best estimates of the prevalence of ASD derived from studies published in English since 2000, the current average prevalence of ASD is approximately 66/10,000, which translates to approximately 1 in 152

children affected, with males consistently outnumbering females by about 5:1 (2). Although this estimate is primarily based on studies conducted in North America and Northern Europe, a recent systematic review that included a wider representation of global prevalence estimates yielded similar figures (3). However, several studies that are notable in terms of the size of the population sampled and their methodological rigor indicate even higher estimates ranging from 147 (one in 68) to 264 (one in 38) per 10,000 (4-6). These figures are markedly higher than the earliest prevalence estimates for autistic disorder using narrow diagnostic criteria that were reported in studies conducted in the 1960s and 1970s, namely 0.7 - 4.8 per 10,000 (7-11). The progressive recognition of the importance and relevance of the milder ASD phenotypes has led to changes in the design of more recent epidemiological surveys and, as discussed below, challenges in interpreting patterns of prevalence estimates over time.

Interpreting ASD Prevalence Estimates

The series of reports from the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) indicates a clear rise in prevalence of ASD in recent years. News reports and some advocacy groups have called this an "Autism Epidemic," with the most recent CDC estimate (based on the 2010 surveillance year) suggesting that one in 68 children 8 years of age have an ASD – the highest to date of all the previous CDC reports (6). When prevalence estimates are considered against year of publication, there is indeed clear support for the claim for a rise in prevalence reported for ASD over time. For example, prevalence estimates from the CDC were 80/10,000 (~one in 125) in 2004 (12), 90/10,000 (~one in 110) in 2006 (12), and 113/10,000 (~one in 88) in 2008 (13), with the 2010 prevalence estimate marking a 30% increase since 2008 and roughly 120% increase since estimates from 2000 and 2002. This pattern has caused much controversy because it has generated confusion as to whether the rise in prevalence can be equated with a rise in incidence. Prevalence is the proportion of individuals in a population who have a defined disorder at any point in time, while incidence is the number of new cases occurring in a population during a certain period of time, excluding individuals already diagnosed or treated for the condition in the same period. As a consequence, causal research can only be investigated through incidence rates, whereas prevalence estimates are useful for estimating needs and planning services.

In the case of ASD, patterns in incidence cannot be implied from patterns in prevalence because they require different methodologies and analyses. Also, there are various problems with interpreting and comparing prevalence estimates that include different definitions, different methodologies, different sources and different time periods across epidemiological surveys. Awareness of autism, advocacy groups and changes in policy may also impact prevalence data, since as more people learn and seek help for autism, prevalence data will report a higher number of cases with ASD over time. These issues are each discussed in turn in the following sections.

Case Definition

Case definition refers to the diagnostic criteria used in epidemiological studies of ASD, which have changed over time. Earlier diagnostic criteria (14-16) reflected the more qualitatively severe forms of the autism behavioral phenotype, usually associated with severe delays in language and cognitive skills. It was only in the 1980s that less severe forms of autism (i.e., Pervasive Developmental Disorders Not Otherwise Specified; PDD-NOS) were recognized, and Asperger Disorder was acknowledged as a separate diagnostic category in the early 1990s in both ICD-10 (17) and DSM-IV (18).

The changes now occurring in the DSM with the new fifth edition (19) may also impact prevalence estimates in the future. DSM-5 proposes a single new category of Autism Spectrum Disorder, conceptually equivalent to the previous diagnostic class of PDDs. However, fewer diagnostic criteria have been retained that are combined in two clusters of social communication deficits and restricted patterns of behaviors and interests. The removal of the loosely defined PDD-NOS that was in DSM-IV-TR (20) will be likely to increase the specificity of the ASD diagnostic category and the removal of Asperger Disorder as a separate category is consistent with research that has generally failed to provide evidence for the discriminant validity of this diagnostic concept vis-à-vis forms of autistic disorder that are not associated with severe language impairments or intellectual deficits.

The impact of the DSM-5 changes remain to be fully assessed in the context of epidemiological surveys. Two studies have addressed this issue. In a re-analysis of the 2008 CDC data (21), 81.2% of children classified as having ASD according to DSM-IV-TR (20) also met DSM-5 criteria (19), resulting in a DSM-5 based prevalence of 100/10,000. Kim and colleagues (22) reported that 92% of children with ASD according to DSM-IV-TR also met DSM-5 criteria. However, when DSM-5 ASD and Social Communication Disorder (SCD; a new diagnostic category in DSM-5) were considered together, there was no significant change in the prevalence estimate (22). In summary, the evolution of the case definition of autism into a spectrum disorder has created a challenge in interpreting prevalence rates across studies, especially over time (23).

Diagnostic Substitution and Accretion

The modifications in the diagnostic criteria may also impact more recent prevalence estimates because it is possible that some of the cases that meet current ASD diagnostic criteria may not have received a diagnosis previously, using past diagnostic criteria. More specifically, diagnostic substitution- when a case receives one diagnosis at one point and then later receives a different diagnosis- may be playing a role (24). For example, some cases may have received a diagnosis of mental retardation when they were younger and then later received an ASD diagnosis because of the change in diagnostic criteria. It is also possible that some cases diagnosed with one disorder earlier in time may later acquire a comorbid diagnosis that includes ASD, called diagnostic accretion. For example, some cases may have received a diagnosis of mental retardation when they were younger and then later received a comorbid diagnosis including ASD.

Variability in Case Ascertainment Methods

Different strategies have been also been employed to find and identify individuals meeting the case definition set for each survey. Some studies identify cases from pre-existing databases, such as service provider databases, special education databases or national registries. Utilizing these databases to estimate prevalence excludes individuals who have the disorder but are not in contact with the agency maintaining the database, resulting in an underestimation of the true prevalence. In addition, factors such as heightened public awareness, decreasing age at diagnosis, and changes in diagnostic criteria and practices may also contribute to increasing numbers of individuals in these databases, creating an illusion of an increase in ASD prevalence over time.

Other studies employ a multistage approach to identify cases in underlying populations. This approach can result in identifying individuals not previously diagnosed with ASD, enhancing the sensitivity of case identification. The goal of the screening stage is to identify an exhaustive list of cases possibly affected with an ASD, leaving the comprehensive diagnostic assessment to a second stage. The number of data sources, the screening measure selected and the response rate within the population all influence the number of cases identified in the screening stage, which then impacts the number of cases confirmed in the second stage. To confirm case status in the second stage, a combination of data from different informants (parents, teachers, doctors) and other data sources (medical or education records) is often used to evaluate diagnoses, with an in-person assessment of affected individuals in some studies. Even when participants are directly examined, assessments are conducted using various diagnostic instruments, ranging from an unstructured examination by a clinician to the use of a full battery of standardized measures such as the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) (25) or the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI) (26). For these reasons, all ASD prevalence estimates must be understood as underestimates of “true” prevalence rates, with the magnitude of this underestimation unknown in each survey.

The Public Health Response, Public Awareness, and Policy Changes

Monitoring prevalence trends over time has become a national priority in a few countries where such estimates are used in service planning and development. In the US, research funding for ASD as well as the number of ASD research grants has increased steadily over the past decade (27). There is no doubt

that the increase in public awareness and access to services, and improved identification of ASD in primary healthcare has contributed toward the increase in prevalence and may account for regional variation within the US (6). Increasing ASD prevalence estimates have provided the impetus to focus on increasing both access to services and the quality of service, which, regardless of the nature of the increase in ASD, has been beneficial to the families and individuals affected by it.

Conclusions and Implications

The current estimate for the prevalence of ASD is 66/10,000. Although prevalence estimates have steadily increased over time, whether this increase is the sign of a true rise in incidence ("ASD epidemic") is subject to debate. The reasons for the increase in prevalence of ASD are not well understood. Many factors such as the evolving diagnostic criteria for ASD, diagnostic substitution and accretion, variability in case ascertainment methods, and greater public awareness and services, can explain at least some of the increase in prevalence estimates of ASD. It appears as though increasing prevalence of ASD can be explained by factors associated with the collection of data, and not necessarily by an actual increase in incidence. However, the possibility that a true change in the underlying incidence of ASD has contributed to higher prevalence figures remains to be adequately tested.

GP Comment.

What have I learned from this paper?

The prevalence rates reported in this paper are surprisingly high. The paper highlights an important issue of diagnosis. I think as primary care providers at the front line of health care, GPs need to take note of this growing trend and the difficulties with diagnosis. Education is needed at a number of levels to raise awareness on recognizing early signs and the appropriate services which families can be signposted too.

Dr Yan Tak Choi, BMBS, BSc, MRCP, MSc
Bennetts End Surgery
Hemel Hempstead.

References

1. King M, Bearman P. Diagnostic change and the increased prevalence of autism. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009 Oct;38(5):1224–34.
2. Hill AP, Zuckerman KE, Fombonne É. Epidemiology of Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Handbook of Autism and Pervasive Developmental Disorders*. Hoboken, NJ; 2014. pp. 57–96.
3. Elsabbagh M, Divan G, Koh Y-J, Kim YS, Kauchali S, Marcín C, et al. Global prevalence of autism and other pervasive developmental disorders. *Autism Research*. 2012 Jun 1;5(3):160–79.
4. Kim YS, Leventhal BL, Koh YJ. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders in a total population sample. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2011.
5. Idring S, Rai D, Dal H, Dalman C, Sturm H, Zander E. Autism spectrum disorders in the Stockholm Youth Cohort: design, prevalence and validity. *PLoS ONE*. 2012.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorders Among Children Aged 8 Years- Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 11 Sites, United States, 2010. *MMWR*. 2014;63(2):1–22.
7. Lotter V. Epidemiology of autistic conditions in young children. *Social psychiatry*. 1966.
8. Brask B. A prevalence investigation of childhood psychoses. Oslo, Norway; 1970.
9. Treffert DA. Epidemiology of infantile autism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1970 May 1;22(5):431–8.
10. Wing L, Yeates SR, Brierley LM, Gould J. The prevalence of early childhood autism: comparison of administrative and epidemiological studies. *Psychol Med*. 1976 Feb 1;6(1):89–100.
11. Hoshino Y, Kumashiro H, Yashima Y. The epidemiological study of autism in Fukushima. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*. 1982.

12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorders- Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, United States, 2006. MMWR. 2009.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorders: Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 14 Sites, United States, 2008. MMWR. 2012;61(3).
14. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Ninth Revision. World Health Organization; 1978. 1 p.
15. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 3rd ed. American Psychiatric Association; 1980.
16. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - Revision. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1987.
17. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems. 10 ed. 1992.
18. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4 ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
19. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5 ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
20. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth, Text Revision. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
21. Maenner MJ, Rice CE, Arneson CL, Cunniff C, Schieve LA, Carpenter LA, et al. Potential Impact of DSM-5 Criteria on Autism Spectrum Disorder Prevalence Estimates. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014 Mar 1;71(3):292–300.
22. Kim YS, Fombonne E, Koh YJ, Kim SJ. A Comparison of DSM-IV PDD and DSM-5 ASD Prevalence in an Epidemiologic Sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014.
23. Fombonne É. The prevalence of autism. *JAMA*. 2003 Jan 1;289(1):87–9.
24. Shattuck PT. The contribution of diagnostic substitution to the growing administrative prevalence of autism in US special education. *PEDIATRICS*. 2006 Apr 1;117(4):1028–37.
25. Lord CC, Risi SS, Lambrecht LL, Cook EHE, Leventhal BLB, DiLavore PCP, et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2000 Jun 1;30(3):205–23.
26. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 1994 Oct 1;24(5):659–85.
27. Singh J, Illes J, Lazzeroni L, Hallmayer J. Trends in US autism research funding. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2009.